

**DECISION**



*Monovitz*  
**THE COMPTROLLER GENERAL  
OF THE UNITED STATES**  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20548 *26947*

**FILE:** B-211968.2

**DATE:**

December 6, 1983

**MATTER OF:** Champion Road Machinery International  
Corporation--Request for Reconsideration

**DIGEST:**

Request for reconsideration will not be considered where protester timely files short notice requesting reconsideration of prior decision, but fails to provide detailed statement within 10 working days after basis for reconsideration was known or should have been known.

Champion Road Machinery International Corporation (Champion) requests reconsideration of our decision in Champion Road Machinery International Corporation, B-211968, October 4, 1983, 83-2 CPD 416, in which we dismissed in part and denied in part the firm's protest against the proposed award of a contract to Craig Taylor Equipment Company by the Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration.

Champion acknowledged receipt of the above decision in a telephone conversation with a member of this Office on October 21, 1983, and filed its request for reconsideration on October 26, 1983. Although Champion did not provide any factual or legal grounds upon which the request was based, Champion stated, "Our letter of explanation to follow." On November 7, 1983, this Office received the letter of explanation.

We will not consider the request for reconsideration because Champion failed to submit a timely detailed statement. Requests for reconsideration must be received by this Office within 10 working days after the basis for reconsideration is known or should have been known, whichever is earlier, and contain a detailed statement of factual and legal grounds upon which reversal or modification of the decision is deemed warranted, specifying any errors of law made or information not previously considered. 4 C.F.R. § 21.9(a) and (b) (1983). A timely request for reconsideration must contain that detailed statement and the mere statement that evidence to support a request for reconsideration exists and will be forthcoming does not fulfill that requirement. Riverport Industries, Inc.--Request for Reconsideration, B-205791.2, June 1, 1982, 82-1 CPD 507.

027342

Since the required detailed statement was not received in this Office until November 7, 1983, more than 10 working days after the protester's receipt of our decision, which was October 21, 1983, at the latest, the request for reconsideration is untimely and not for reconsideration. Riverport Industries, supra.

The request for reconsideration is dismissed.

*Harry R. Van Cleve*  
Harry R. Van Cleve  
Acting General Counsel